Gaines, Cynthia

From:

Wachter, Eric

Sent:

Wednesday, September 04, 2013 6:03 PM

To:

Gaines, Cynthia

Subject:

Fw: Sportsmen Unite to Urge Administration Action on Clean Water Act

Importance:

High

From: Jan Goldman-Carter <goldmancarterj@nwf.org> Sent: Wednesday, September 04, 2013 5:41:25 PM

To: Mccarthy, Gina; Wachter, Eric; 'Perciasepe.bob@Epa.gov'; Stoner, Nancy; Kopocis, Ken

Subject: RE: Sportsmen Unite to Urge Administration Action on Clean Water Act

FYI from Field and Stream:

 $\underline{http://www.fieldandstream.com/blogs/conservationist/2013/09/wetlands-guidance-\%E2\%80\%99s-crazy-talk-apparently}$

Jan Goldman-Carter
Senior Manager, Wetlands and Water Resources
National Wildlife Federation
National Advocacy Center
901 E St, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20004
202-797-6894
goldmancarterj@nwf.org
www.nwf.org/waters



From: Jan Goldman-Carter

Sent: Friday, August 09, 2013 3:52 PM

To: mccarthy.gina@epa.gov; wachter.eric@epa.gov; Perciasepe.bob@Epa.gov; Stoner, Nancy; kopocis.ken@epa.gov

Subject: Sportsmen Unite to Urge Administration Action on Clean Water Act

Administrator McCarthy et al --

Today we are mailing and faxing to OMB the attached letter urging OMB action on EPA and Corps "Waters of the United States" guidance and rulemaking.

Thank you,

Jan Goldman-Carter
Jan Goldman-Carter
Senior Manager, Wetlands and Water Resources
National Wildlife Federation
National Advocacy Center
901 E St, NW

Wetlands Guidance? That's Crazy Talk, Apparently

By Bob Marshall

The most memorable definition of "crazy" goes something like this: Doing the same thing repeatedly and expecting a different result.

Which brings us to the greater conservation community and its recent renewed request to the Obama Administration: Please release your new guidance on wetlands, now covered by the Clean Water Act, in response to Supreme Court decisions almost a decade ago that removed protections on 20 million acres – including the prairie potholes and 5,000 miles of stream sides.

Given the definition above, this request certifies conservationists as crazy because they have been making the same plea repeatedly over the last two years – and the response really hasn't changed. Oh, there's been a lot of head nodding, quiet assurances, and even some back-room promises. But the guidance still isn't out.

For those who haven't read this space in the last six years, here's a refresher:

In 2006 the Supreme Court ruled that Congress never intended for the Clean Water Act to protect isolated and temporary wetlands, as every administration had been doing since the CWA passed in 1972. The ruling caused an uproar because those wetlands are among the most critical for many species, particularly waterfowl, which depend on the isolated, and temporary prairie potholes complexes for nesting. The ruling also meant stream sides essential to healthy trout populations could be left open to destruction everywhere.

Congress could fix the problem simply by passing a bill saying it wanted those wetlands included in the CWA. But despite all those members who love sportsmen at election time, they voted with developers and agricultural interests who hated the CWA, so bills that would restore protections never made it out of the House.

The impacts of this failure have become even larger considering the severe cutbacks in conservation programs currently underway by Congress.

Conservationists thought they had a champion in Obama, whose administration supported the protections and moved to reduce the impacts of the decisions by issuing new guidance to federal agencies on exactly what they could protect. It wouldn't return things to the way they had been, but it would help a lot.

That action was ready to go three years ago, but has repeatedly been delayed. At first conservationists understood the politics involved: Facing election during tough economic times, the president didn't want to give opponents a chance to say he was choosing ducks over jobs.

But the election was nearly a year ago. Obama won. But wetlands are still losing.